## Berkeley Math Circle Monthly Contest 3 – Solutions

1. You are given an  $m \times n$  chocolate bar divided into  $1 \times 1$  squares. You can break a piece of chocolate by splitting it into two pieces along a straight line that does not cut through any of the  $1 \times 1$  squares. What is the minimum number of times you have to break the bar in order to separate all the  $1 \times 1$  squares?

Solution. We note that the number of separate pieces of chocolate increases by 1 at each cut. We begin with 1 piece and end with mn pieces, so we must make mn - 1 cuts. Thus mn - 1 is the minimum (and also the maximum) number of cuts necessary to separate all the  $1 \times 1$  squares.

2. Let n be a positive integer. Prove that the nth prime number is greater than or equal to 2n - 1.

Solution. We can verify that the first prime, 2, is greater than  $2 \cdot 1 - 1 = 1$  and the second prime, 3, is equal to  $2 \cdot 2 - 1$ . From then, on, since all primes except 2 are odd, the difference between consecutive primes is at least 2. Therefore, for  $n \ge 3$ ,

nth prime 
$$\geq 3 + \underbrace{2+2+\dots+2}_{n+2+\dots+2}$$
$$= 3 + 2(n-2)$$
$$= 3 + 2n - 4$$
$$= 2n - 1.$$

3. Given the hypotenuse and the difference of the two legs of a right triangle, show how to reconstruct the triangle with ruler and compass.

Solution. Here is one construction. Let AB = d be the segment representing the difference of the two legs. Extend AB to C and raise a perpendicular BD to AC at B. Bisect angle DBC to make ray BE with  $\angle EBC = 45^{\circ}$ . Now set the compass to the length c of the hypotenuse and draw a circle k of radius c centered at A. Because the hypotenuse of a right triangle is longer than the difference of the legs, c > AB, and thus k will intersect ray BEat a point X. Drop a perpendicular XY from X to AC. Then  $\triangle AXY$  is a right triangle with hypotenuse AX, and the difference AY - XY of the legs equals AY - BY = ABsince BXY is an isosceles right triangle.

If there were any other right triangle satisfying the same specifications, we could put it in the position AX'Y' with the smallest angle at A, the longer leg AY' on ray AC, and X'above Y'. Then X' would lie on k because AX' = c; also, since AX' - X'Y' = AB =AY' - BY', BX'Y' is an isosceles right triangle, and so X' lies on  $\overrightarrow{BE}$ . However, since B is inside k, k and  $\overrightarrow{BE}$  can only intersect once. Thus X' = X and Y' = Y.



4. Show that each number in the sequence

$$49, \quad 4489, \quad 444889, \quad 44448889, \quad \dots$$

is a perfect square.

Solution. Let  $x_n$  denote the *n*th number in the sequence. If we multiply  $x_n$  by 9 by the standard method, we see that the calculations  $9 \cdot 8 + 8 = 80$  and  $9 \cdot 4 + 4 = 40$  occur repeatedly:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} n \text{ digits} \\ \hline 44\cdots 44 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} n \text{ digits} \\ \hline 88\cdots 89 \end{array} \\ \times & 9 \\ \hline 4 & 00\cdots 04 & 00\cdots 01 \end{array}$$

The result is  $9x_n = 4 \cdot 10^{2n} + 4 \cdot 10^n + 1 = (2 \cdot 10^n + 1)^2$ , so

$$x_n = \left(\frac{2 \cdot 10^n + 1}{3}\right)^2.$$

To see that the expression in parentheses is an integer, we may note that the sum of the digits of the numerator  $200 \cdots 001$  is 3, a multiple of 3.

5. Let  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots\}$  be a sequence of real numbers such that for each  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + a_n.$$

Prove that for all  $n \geq 2$ , the quantity

 $|a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1}|$ 

does not depend on n.

Solution. It suffices to prove that increasing n to n + 1 does not change the value, i.e. that for  $n \ge 2$ ,

$$|a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1}| = |a_{n+1}^2 - a_na_{n+2}|$$

We will prove more specifically that

$$a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} = -(a_{n+1}^2 - a_n a_{n+2})$$

by transforming:

$$a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \stackrel{?}{=} -(a_{n+1}^2 - a_n a_{n+2})$$
$$a_n^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \stackrel{?}{=} -a_{n+1}^2 + a_n a_{n+2}$$
$$a_{n+1}^2 - a_{n-1}a_{n+1} \stackrel{?}{=} a_n a_{n+2} - a_n^2$$
$$a_{n+1}(a_{n+1} - a_{n-1}) \stackrel{?}{=} a_n(a_{n+2} - a_n).$$

Using the given relation  $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + a_n$ , we see that the right side equals  $a_n \cdot a_{n+1}$ . Replacing n by n-1 in the given relation gives  $a_{n+1} = a_n + a_{n-1}$ , so the left side equals  $a_{n+1} \cdot a_n$  and thus the equality is true.

6. The inscribed circle of a triangle *ABC* touches the sides *BC*, *CA*, *AB* at *D*, *E*, and *F* respectively. Let *X*, *Y*, and *Z* be the incenters of triangles *AEF*, *BFD*, and *CDE*, respectively. Prove that *DX*, *EY*, and *CZ* meet at one point.

Solution. Consider the midpoint M of arc EF on the incircle of  $\triangle ABC$ . Angles AFM and MFE are equal since they intercept equal arcs FM and ME, and so M is on the bisector of  $\angle AFE$ . Similarly, M is on the bisector of  $\angle FEA$ , and therefore M coincides with X. Moreover, angles FDX and XDE are equal since they intercept equal arcs FX and XE, and so DX is the angle bisector of  $\angle D$  in  $\triangle DEF$ . Similarly, EY and FZ are the other two angle bisectors in  $\triangle DEF$ . But the three angle bisectors in a triangle always meet!

7. Define a sequence  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots$  in the following way:  $a_0 = 0$ , and for  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$a_{n+1} = a_n + 5^{a_n}.$$

Let k be any positive integer. Prove that the remainders when  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2^{k-1}}$  are divided by  $2^k$  are all different.

*Remark.* It was intended to prove that  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{2^k-1}$  (not just up to  $a_{2^{k-1}}$ ) have different remainders. This typo does not affect the truth of the problem, and we will prove the strengthened statement.

Solution. We begin with a simple numerical lemma.

Lemma 1. For all  $k \ge 0$ ,  $5^{2^k} - 1$  is divisible by  $2^{k+2}$ .

*Proof.* By induction. For k = 0, the statement may be checked directly. To step from k to k + 1, we write

$$5^{2^{k+1}} - 1 = \left(5^{2^k}\right)^2 - 1 = \left(5^{2^k} - 1\right)\left(5^{2^k} + 1\right)$$

and note that the first factor is divisible by  $2^{k+2}$  by the induction hypothesis and the second factor is clearly divisible by 2, so the product is divisible by  $2^{k+3}$ .

Now we turn to the main lemma of the proof.

*Lemma* 2. *Fix*  $k \ge 0$ . *For all*  $r \ge 0$ , *the difference* 

$$a_{r+2^k} - a_r$$

is divisible by  $2^k$ , not divisible by  $2^{k+1}$ , and independent of  $r \mod 2^{k+2}$ .

*Proof.* By induction. For k = 0,

$$a_{r+1} - a_r = 5^{a_r}$$

is clearly divisible by 1, not divisible by 2, and congruent to the constant value  $1^{a_r} = 1 \mod 4$ . Now assume that the statement is true for k; we will prove it for k+1. We know that  $a_{r+2^k} - a_r$  has the form  $2^k \cdot m$  for m odd. The difference  $a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_{r+2^k}$  has the same value,  $2^k \cdot m$ , to the modulus  $2^{k+2}$ . Therefore, mod  $2^{k+2}$ ,

$$a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_r = (a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_{r+2^k}) + (a_{r+2^k} - a_r) \equiv 2^k \cdot m + 2^k \cdot m = 2^{k+1}m_{r+2^k}$$

so this difference is divisible by  $2^{k+1}$  but not  $2^{k+2}$ .

It remains to prove that  $a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_r$  is constant mod  $2^{k+3}$ , i.e. that  $2^{k+3}$  divides the difference between two values for consecutive values of r:

$$(a_{r+2^{k+1}+1} - a_{r+1}) - (a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_r) = (a_{r+2^{k+1}+1} - a_{r+2^{k+1}}) - (a_{r+1} - a_r)$$
$$= 5^{a_{r+2^{k+1}}} - 5^{a_r}$$
$$= 5^{a_r} (5^{a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_r} - 1).$$

We have already proved that  $a_{r+2^{k+1}} - a_r$  is divisible by  $2^{k+1}$ . By Lemma 1,  $5^{2^{k+1}} \equiv 1 \mod 2^{k+3}$ , so  $5^{a_{r+2^{k+1}}-a_r}$  is also 1 mod  $2^{k+3}$ , completing the proof.

To solve the problem, suppose that r and s are two nonnegative integers such that  $0 \le r < s \le 2^k - 1$  and  $a_r \equiv a_s \mod 2^k$ . Let  $s - r = 2^{\ell} \cdot m$ , where m is odd. Mod  $2^{\ell+1}$ ,  $a_{t+2^{\ell}} - a_t \equiv 2^{\ell}$  for all  $t \ge 0$  and therefore

$$a_s - a_r \equiv (a_{r+2^{\ell}} - a_r) + (a_{r+2 \cdot 2^{\ell}} - a_{r+2^{\ell}}) + \dots + (a_{r+m \cdot 2^{\ell}} - a_{r+(m-1)2^{\ell}}) \equiv m \cdot 2^{\ell} \neq 0,$$

implying that  $\ell + 1 \ge k + 1$ , i.e.  $\ell \ge k$ . Thus  $s - r \ge 2^k$ , which is a contradiction.