

# Berkeley Math Circle

## Monthly Contest 3 – Solutions

1. Let  $a$  and  $b$  be integers such that

$$|a + b| > |1 + ab|.$$

Prove that  $ab = 0$ .

*Solution.* Notice that replacing both  $a$  and  $b$  by their negatives does not change either side of the given inequality. Therefore, we may assume that  $a + b \geq 0$ . We now have  $a + b > |1 + ab|$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} a + b > 1 + ab & \quad \text{and} \quad a + b > -1 - ab \\ ab - a - b + 1 < 0 & \quad \text{and} \quad ab + a + b + 1 > 0 \\ (a - 1)(b - 1) < 0 & \quad \text{and} \quad (a + 1)(b + 1) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a$  and  $b$  are nonzero, then  $a - 1$  and  $a + 1$  are both nonnegative or both nonpositive, and similarly for  $b - 1$  and  $b + 1$ . So  $(a - 1)(b - 1)$  and  $(a + 1)(b + 1)$  are both nonnegative or both nonpositive, which contradicts the information above.

*Remark.* A longer, but easier to find, proof may be constructed by separately considering the four cases according to whether  $a$  and  $b$  are positive or negative.

2. Let  $p$  be a prime number. Find all possible values of the remainder when  $p^2 - 1$  is divided by 12.

*Solution.* The answers are 3, 8, and 0.

It is clear that  $p = 2$  gives 3,  $p = 3$  gives 8, and  $p = 5$  gives 0. We claim that all primes  $p \geq 5$  give the remainder 0 as well, i.e. that  $p^2 - 1$  is divisible by 12 for these  $p$ .

We factor:

$$p^2 - 1 = (p + 1)(p - 1)$$

Since  $p \neq 2$ ,  $p$  is odd and so  $p + 1$  and  $p - 1$  are both even. This gives two factors of 2 in  $p^2 - 1$ . Moreover, one of the three consecutive integers  $p - 1, p, p + 1$  is divisible by 3, and since  $p \neq 3$ , it is not  $p$ . So either  $p - 1$  or  $p + 1$  has a factor of 3, and so does  $p^2 - 1$ . Thus  $p^2 - 1$  is divisible by  $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 = 12$ .

3. We are given a  $13 \times 13$  chessboard. Determine whether it is possible to place nonoverlapping  $1 \times 4$  rectangular tiles on the board so as to cover every square but the central one.

*Solution.* It is impossible. In the diagram at right, each of the 42 tiles used to cover the 168 vacant squares must cover exactly one  $\times$ . But there are only 41  $\times$ 's!

	×				×				×			
×				×				×				×
		×			×				×			
			×			×					×	
	×				×				×			
×				×				×				×
		×								×		
			×			×					×	
	×				×				×			
×				×				×				×
		×				×				×		
			×			×					×	
	×				×				×			

4. Let  $ABCD$  be a parallelogram. Suppose that the circumcenter of  $\triangle ABC$  lies on diagonal  $BD$ . Prove that  $ABCD$  is either a rectangle or a rhombus (or both).

*Solution.* To get a conclusion of the appropriate type (a rectangle OR a rhombus), we must divide up the problem into two cases. Here is one way of accomplishing this:

*Case 1.* The circumcenter  $O$  of  $ABC$  is the center of  $ABCD$ , the common midpoint of diagonals  $AC$  and  $BD$ . Then since radii  $OA$  and  $OB$  are equal, we get  $AC = 2OA = 2OB = BD$ . Thus  $ABCD$  is a parallelogram whose diagonals are congruent, i.e. a rectangle.

*Case 2.* The circumcenter  $O$  of  $ABC$  does not coincide with the midpoint  $M$  of  $AC$  and  $BD$ . Then since  $O$  is on the perpendicular bisector of  $AC$ , we have  $OM \perp AC$ . But  $O$  and  $M$  are both on line  $BD$ , so  $BD \perp AC$ . Thus  $ABCD$  is a parallelogram whose diagonals are perpendicular, i.e. a rhombus.

5. Let  $\ominus$  be an operation on the set of real numbers such that

$$(x \ominus y) + (y \ominus z) + (z \ominus x) = 0$$

for all real  $x, y,$  and  $z$ . Prove that there is a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$x \ominus y = f(x) - f(y)$$

for all real  $x$  and  $y$ .

*Solution.* First we plug in  $x = y = z = 0$  to get

$$(0 \ominus 0) + (0 \ominus 0) + (0 \ominus 0) = 0,$$

that is,  $0 \ominus 0 = 0$ . Then we plug  $y = z = 0$ , keeping  $x$  undetermined, into the original equation to get

$$(x \ominus 0) + 0 + (0 \ominus x) + 0 = 0.$$

So  $0 \ominus x = -(x \ominus 0)$ . Define  $g(x) = x \ominus 0$ . Plugging  $z = 0$  into the original equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} (x \ominus y) + (y \ominus 0) + (0 \ominus x) &= 0 \\ (x \ominus y) + (y \ominus 0) - (x \ominus 0) &= 0 \\ (x \ominus y) &= (x \ominus 0) - (y \ominus 0) \\ &= g(x) - g(y), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

6. Let  $N$  be a positive integer such that  $N$  is divisible by 81 and the number formed by reversing the digits of  $N$  is also divisible by 81. Prove that the sum of the digits of  $N$  is divisible by 81.

*Solution.* We begin with a lemma.

*Lemma.* For all  $k \geq 0$ ,  $10^k \equiv 1 + 9k \pmod{81}$ .

*Proof.* Binomial theorem:

$$10^k = (1 + 9)^k = 1^k + \binom{k}{1} \cdot 1^{k-1} \cdot 9 + \text{terms divisible by } 9^2. \quad \square$$

We now write  $N$  in terms of its digits as

$$\begin{aligned} N &= a_0 + 10a_1 + \cdots + 10^n a_n \\ &\equiv (a_0 + 9 \cdot 0 \cdot a_0) + (a_1 + 9 \cdot 1 \cdot a_1) + \cdots + (a_n + 9 \cdot n \cdot a_n) \\ &\equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n + 9(0a_0 + 1a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + na_n) \pmod{81}. \end{aligned}$$

Correspondingly, the number formed by reversing the digits of  $N$  is

$$a_n + 10a_{n-1} + \cdots + 10^n a_0 \equiv a_0 + a_1 + \cdots + a_n + 9(na_0 + (n-1)a_1 + \cdots + 0a_n) \pmod{81}.$$

If we add these two numbers, we get that 81 divides

$$2(a_0 + \cdots + a_n) + 9(na_0 + na_1 + \cdots + na_n) = (9n + 2)(a_0 + \cdots + a_n).$$

Since  $9n + 2$  is not divisible by 3, the conclusion follows.

7. Let  $k$  be a positive integer, and let  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k})$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2k})$  be two sequences of real numbers such that  $1/2 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{2k}$  and  $1/2 \leq b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_{2k}$ . Let  $M$  and  $m$  be the maximum and minimum respectively of

$$(a_1 + c_1)(a_2 + c_2) \cdots (a_{2k} + c_{2k}) \quad (1)$$

as  $(c_1, \dots, c_{2k})$  ranges through all possible permutations of  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . Prove that

$$M - m \geq k(a_k - a_{k+1})(b_k - b_{k+1}).$$

*Solution.* Let  $c_i = b_{\sigma(i)}$  where  $\sigma$  is a permutation of the numbers 1 through  $2k$  that will vary. We begin with  $\sigma$  being the numbers 1 through  $2k$  written in order,

$$1 \quad 2 \quad \cdots \quad 2k,$$

so  $c_i = b_i$  for each  $i$ . We then switch 1 with 2, 1 with 3, 1 with 4, and so on, until 1 arrives to the right of  $2k$ . Then we switch 2 with 3, 2 with 4, etc., until 2 is just to the left of 1. We continue in this way until the order of all the numbers  $\sigma(i)$  is reversed. Each of these switches changes the permutation  $\sigma$  and therefore the product (1). We claim that after all of them have been performed, the value of (1) is increased by at least  $k(a_k - a_{k+1})(b_k - b_{k+1})$ .

*Lemma.* No switch decreases the value of (1).

*Proof.* Each switch interchanges the values of two consecutive  $c_i$ 's, replacing two factors  $(a_i + c_i)(a_{i+1} + c_{i+1})$  of the product by  $(a_i + c_{i+1})(a_{i+1} + c_i)$  and increasing the value by a positive constant times

$$(a_i + c_{i+1})(a_{i+1} + c_i) - (a_i + c_i)(a_{i+1} + c_{i+1}) = (a_{i+1} - a_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i).$$

The factor  $(a_{i+1} - a_i)$  is obviously nonnegative, and the factor  $(c_{i+1} - c_i)$  is nonnegative also due to the way we performed the switches: a smaller number always moves to the right while a larger number moves to the left, so  $c_i = b_m$  and  $c_{i+1} = b_n$  with  $m < n$ .  $\square$

*Lemma.* At least  $k$  switches occur between  $c_k$  and  $c_{k+1}$  in which initially  $\sigma(k) \leq k$  and  $\sigma(k+1) > k$  (and subsequently these inequalities are reversed).

*Proof.* Let  $m(\sigma)$  be the number of indices  $i$  such that  $i \leq k$  and  $\sigma(i) \leq k$ . Initially,  $m(\sigma) = k$  and ultimately  $m(\sigma) = 0$ . Only switches of the type described alter  $m(\sigma)$ , and only by 1, so there must be at least  $k$  of them (in fact there are exactly  $k$ ).  $\square$

We complete the proof by showing that each switch of the type described in the above lemma increases the value of (1) by at least  $(a_k - a_{k+1})(b_k - b_{k+1})$ . By the calculation in Lemma 7, the product of the two factors altered by the switch is increased by

$$(a_{i+1} - a_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i) \geq (a_{k+1} - a_k)(b_k - b_{k+1});$$

the remaining factors all have the form

$$a_i + c_i \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

so the increase in the product is at least  $(a_k - a_{k+1})(b_k - b_{k+1})$ .